

MAY 29 2007

## REMARKS

The Examiner has required restriction to one of two inventions, namely, to either Group I (claims 1-13) or Group II (claims 14-15). The applicant elects Group 1, that is, claims 1-13, but with traverse.

The Examiner stated that the "inventions Group I and Group II are unrelated" since "they are not disclosed as capable of use together and ..." This is clearly not so. The aspect of the invention defined in claims 14-15 is clearly disclosed as being capable of use in the overall spraying system (claims 1-13): The specification starting at paragraph [0074] explicitly discloses how the viscosity-estimating arrangement according to the invention is used together with the rest of the system. Furthermore, the preamble of claim 14 makes clear that the viscosity-estimating arrangement is used "in a system for spraying a material." The applicants therefore request withdrawal of the restriction requirement.

Date: 27 May 2007

34825 Sultan-Startup Rd.  
Sultan, WA 98294  
Phone: 425-210-9122  
Fax: (360) 793-6687

Respectfully submitted,

*Jeffrey Pearce*  
Jeffrey Pearce  
Reg. No. 34,729  
Attorney for the Applicant